

# ● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : 10/712,080 Examiner : Anh V. La GAU : 2636

From : S. Winslow Location : (IDC) FMF FDC Date : 10-25-05

Tracking #: EPM 10/712,080 Week Date: 8-22-05

| DOC CODE                                | DOC DATE       | MISCELLANEOUS  |
|---|----------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 1449           | _____          | <input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IDS            | _____          | <input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM | <u>7-12-05</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility                 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> IIFW           | _____          | <input type="checkbox"/> Fees                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SRFW           | _____          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>NOA 8-17-05</u> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> DRW            | _____          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> OATH           | _____          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 312            | _____          |  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> SPEC           | _____          |  |

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Examiner's amendment (p. 4) states  
"a remote device" has been changed to "the  
remote device" in claim 29 lines 1-2, however  
claim 29 does not have the phrase "a remote device".

Please advise  
Thanks

[XRUSH] RESPONSE:

The Examiner La made a typographical error in the Office Action  
dated on Aug. 17, 2005. A Supplemental Notice of Allowability has been  
made to correct this error. The phrase "a remote device" has been  
changed to -- A memory device --.

Thank you very much  
**INITIALS:** Anh La

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04